

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/668,808	Applicant(s) SHEPHERD, MARY K.	
	Examiner Francis T. Palo	Art Unit 3644	

All Participants: (1) Francis T. Palo. (2) Mr. Roy Berkenstock. (3) _____. (4) _____

Status of Application: in condition for allowance

Date of Interview: 17 March 2005 **Time:** also 3/22/05 and 3/25/05

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed: _____

Claims discussed:
31-33, 35 and 36

Prior art documents discussed: _____

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Francis T. Palo

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: an examiner's amendment was proposed to effect consistent claim language terminology within the claims, and with reference to the specification and the parts list contained therein, conversations held on 3/22 and 3/25/05 concerned redress of the informal amendment notice sent by the PTO on 3/17/05..